THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - PAN AMERICAN COURSE ASSESSMENT

WFPPRV3

7/30/2013

ASTR 1402-03 Call Number: 22686

Intro Astronomy II

Lee Hyun-Chul

1 - POOR

0.10%

2 - FAIR 0.57%

3 - AVERAGE 3.35% 35

4 - GOOD

5 - EXCELLENT

15.33% 160

80.65%

842

OVERALL RATINGS

Term: 201320

Q11 RATING AS AN INSTRUCTOR Q18 ACCEPTANCE OF DISAGREEMENT W/STUD Q17 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS EXPRESS IDEAS Q16 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS ASK QUESTIONS Q15 CLARITY OF COMMUNICATION Q14 AVAILABILITY DURING OFFICE HOURS Q13 INSTRUCTOR OUTSIDE AVAILABILITY Q12 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBJECT Q22 COMMENTS ON EXAMS **Q21 PERTINENCE OF LECTURES Q20 COURTESY TO STUDENTS** Q19 INTEREST IN SUBJECT **Q23 ADVANCE NOTICE OF EXAMS** Q27 ENTHUSIASM OF STUD. RECOMMENDATION Q26 CLARITY OF SYLABUS Q25 APPLICATION OF GRADING PROCEDURES **Q24 GRADING PROCEDURES** Q28 PROFESSIONAL LEVEL OF INSTRUCTOR **AVERAGE**



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - PAN AMERICAN

WFPPRV3

7/30/2013

Q2 SEX Q1 CLASSIFICATION **Q4 EXPECTED GRADE** Q3 GRADE POINT AVERAGE Term: 201320 Q9 WORKING HOURS PER WEEK **Q8 HOURS OF STUDY** Q7 SEMESTER HOURS ENROLLED Q6 TOOK COURSE TO SATISFY Q11 RATING AS AN INSTRUCTOR Q10 NO. OF ABSENTEES Q16 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS ASK QUESTIONS Q15 CLARITY OF COMMUNICATION Q14 AVAILABILITY DURING OFFICE HOURS Q13 INSTRUCTOR OUTSIDE AVAILABILITY Q12 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBJECT Q20 COURTESY TO STUDENTS Q19 INTEREST IN SUBJECT Q18 ACCEPTANCE OF DISAGREEMENT W/STUD Q17 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS EXPRESS IDEAS Q28 PROFESSIONAL LEVEL OF INSTRUCTOR Q26 CLARITY OF SYLABUS Q24 GRADING PROCEDURES Q23 ADVANCE NOTICE OF EXAMS Q22 COMMENTS ON EXAMS Q21 PERTINENCE OF LECTURES Q27 ENTHUSIASM OF STUD. RECOMMENDATION Q25 APPLICATION OF GRADING PROCEDURES ASTR 1402-03 **EXCELLENT** COURSE ASSESSMENT NOT EMPL- 23 FRESHMAN - 14 SOPHMORE - 29 44 50 49 MAJ REQ - 28 8/LESS - 3 2.0/less - 4 MALE - 28 0 to 1 - 10 0 to 1 - 28 A - 29 Intro Astronomy II FEMALE - 30 GOOD MIN REQ - 4 2.0-2.5 - 13 9 to 11 - 9 2 to 3 - 23 1 to 10 - 8 2 to 3 - 38 13 9 ∞ B - 22 **AVERAGE** UNIV COL- 24 11 to 20 - 12 12 to 14 - 23 2.5-3.0 - 17 JUNIOR - 12 4 to 5 - 5 4 to 5 - 5 Lee Hyun-Chul NO OF STUDENTS: 58 21 to 30 - 11 15 to 17 - 19 3.0-3.5 - 24 OTHER - 1 SENIOR - 3 GRD/OTHR - 0 6 to 7 - 1 6 to 7 - 4 0 0 0 D-0 ELECTIVE -1 31/MORE - 4 18/MORE - 4 8/MORE - 0 8/MORE - 0 3.5-4.0 - 0 00000 0000

NOT WRITE SHADE

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

How everything was explained right to the point

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
 - (a) textbooks great
 - (b) assignments Fair
 - (c) examinations 9000
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

None

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

None

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

yes b/c instructor was very motivational

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

Yes, you cantell he loves to teach

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

EXCRIRM!

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

Nothing to change

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

41. Other comments:

overall great professor and I would highly recomend him to anyone.

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?
I liked everything "
32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
Very good; I really liked the lecture
33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course? (a) textbooks - we don't reled it much, as long as we read it, we are good. (b) assignments - -> DO H.W. (c) examinations - Really good, one every
week
34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required
work? Explain. None, as long as you read the notes ? attend class. you should be good. 35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course? None, really; exapt on the H.W I really dislike peakson.
36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge
in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not? Yes, stally great motivation by The professor. 37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the
37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the
subject field? Why or why not?
subject field? Why or why not? Jet, he was really funny? Mgaged when speaking to students? 38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
Really good; really vice professor.
39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.
40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?
yes in
41. Other comments:
I really enjoyed being a student

1. Other comments:

I really enjoyed being a student at your classroom, hopefully you want forget my name, I though it was awsome now you memorized everyons name.

NOT WRITE SHAD

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most? Girent professor, learled a lot of astronomy.
- Didn'+ dustice anything.

 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course? Good, he was clear with his teaching and what was due Hest dates.

 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
- - (a) textbooks youer used it
 - (b) assignments exta creait/honework on line
 - (c) examinations pretty much had one every week or every other week.
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain. At first didn't know what to expect on 18th And I would get Bis. now I get Als-Studied More.

 35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this
- course? NONE.
- 36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

YS-studied a lot ,

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

yes, and finny.

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

Fair, -gradewise.

- 39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain. I whink he does a good job.
- 40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

yes. We went through every chapter.

41. Other comments:

very good professor. I would recomend him. keeps class interesting.

DO NOT WRITE SHADED ARE

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most? I liked the euthusiasm.
- 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course? Fxce put
- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course? (a) textbooks -
 - (b) assignments tay
 - (c) examinations Tair
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.
- 35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course? NONE!
- 36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not? Yes because he made things easier to understand.
- 37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not? Yes because he would go beyond the textbook to show us about the stars and NASA.

 38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
- 39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.
- 40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?
- Never have a proffesor as dedicated or funny as you.