THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - PAN AMERICAN COURSE ASSESSMENT

WFPPRV3

9/12/2013

ASTR 1402-02

Intro Astronomy II

Lee Hyun-Chul

OVERALL RATINGS

1 - POOR 1.71%

2 - FAIR 0.09%

3 - AVERAGE 3.95% 44

4 - GOOD

5 - EXCELLENT

15.63% 174

78.62% 875

19

Call Number: 22322

Term: 201320

Q15 CLARITY OF COMMUNICATION Q14 AVAILABILITY DURING OFFICE HOURS Q13 INSTRUCTOR OUTSIDE AVAILABILITY Q12 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBJECT Q16 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS ASK QUESTIONS Q11 RATING AS AN INSTRUCTOR

Q17 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS EXPRESS IDEAS Q18 ACCEPTANCE OF DISAGREEMENT W/STUD

Q19 INTEREST IN SUBJECT

Q20 COURTESY TO STUDENTS

Q21 PERTINENCE OF LECTURES

Q22 COMMENTS ON EXAMS

Q23 ADVANCE NOTICE OF EXAMS

Q24 GRADING PROCEDURES


Q25 APPLICATION OF GRADING PROCEDURES

Q26 CLARITY OF SYLABUS

Q27 ENTHUSIASM OF STUD. RECOMMENDATION

Q28 PROFESSIONAL LEVEL OF INSTRUCTOR

AVERAGE



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - PAN AMERICAN COURSE ASSESSMENT NO OF STUDENTS: 62 WFPPRV3

| Term: 201320 | A | ASTR 1402-02 | Intro Astronomy II | | Lee Hyun-Chul | | |
|--|---|--------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Q1 CLASSIFICATION | | FRESHMAN - 9 | SOPHMORE - 33 | JUNIOR - 15 | SENIOR - 5 | GRD/OTHR - 0 | |
| Q2 SEX | | MALE - 31 | FEMALE - 31 | | | | |
| Q3 GRADE POINT AVERAGE | | 2,0/less - 2 | 2.0-2.5 - 12 | 2.5-3.0 - 22 | 3.0-3.5 - 24 | 3.5-4.0 - 2 | |
| Q4 EXPECTED GRADE | | A - 36 | B - 24 | C-1 | D-0 | F-0 | |
| Q6 TOOK COURSE TO SATISFY | | MAJ REQ - 28 | MIN REQ - 1 | UNIV COL- 29 | OTHER - 2 | ELECTIVE -1 | |
| Q7 SEMESTER HOURS ENROLLED | | 8/LESS - 5 | 9 to 11 - 6 | 12 to 14 - 31 | 15 to 17 - 18 | 18/MORE - 0 | |
| Q8 HOURS OF STUDY | | 0 to 1 - 16 | 2 to 3 - 32 | 4 to 5 - 8 | 6 to 7 - 5 | 8/MORE - 0 | |
| Q9 WORKING HOURS PER WEEK | | NOT EMPL- 29 | 1 to 10 - 5 | 11 to 20 - 12 | 21 to 30 - 8 | 31/MORE - 6 | |
| Q10 NO. OF ABSENTEES | | 0 to 1 - 37 | 2 to 3 - 18 | 4 to 5 - 1 | 6 to 7 - 1 | 8/MORE - 2 | |
| | | | | | 5 | | |
| O11 RATING AS AN INSTRUCTOR | | 51 | ∞ | 2 | 0 | - | |
| Q12 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBJECT | | 45 | 11 | 4 | 0 | | |
| Q13 INSTRUCTOR OUTSIDE AVAILABILITY | | 48 | 12 | H | 0 | دسر | |
| Q14 AVAILABILITY DURING OFFICE HOURS | | 50 | 9 | Ь | 0 | Ь | |
| Q15 CLARITY OF COMMUNICATION | | 44 | 13 | ω | دسر | | |
| Q16 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS ASK QUESTIONS | | 46 | 10 | ر ت | 0 | ь, | |
| Q17 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS EXPRESS IDEAS | | 45 | 14 | 2 | 0 | Ь | |
| Q18 ACCEPTANCE OF DISAGREEMENT W/STUD | | 49 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| Q19 INTEREST IN SUBJECT | | 52 | 7 | 2 | 0 | ы | |
| Q20 COURTESY TO STUDENTS | | 52 | 6 | ω | 0 | Ъ | |
| Q21 PERTINENCE OF LECTURES | | 54 | 5 | 2 | 0 | Ľ | |
| Q22 COMMENTS ON EXAMS | | 46 | 11 | 4 | 0 | Н | |
| Q23 ADVANCE NOTICE OF EXAMS | | 52 | 6 | 2 | 0 | ы | |
| Q24 GRADING PROCEDURES | | 47 | 12 | 2 | 0 | Ъ | |
| Q25 APPLICATION OF GRADING PROCEDURES | | 47 | 11 | ω | 0 | Н | |
| Q26 CLARITY OF SYLABUS | | 51 | 9 | Ь | 0 | د ـــم | |
| Q27 ENTHUSIASM OF STUD. RECOMMENDATION | | 47 | 11 | ω | 0 | 1 | |
| Q28 PROFESSIONAL LEVEL OF INSTRUCTOR | | 49 | 10 | 2 | 0 | ר | |

E D H

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most? Eulluthing; H was interesting
- 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

FXCQUQn+

- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
 - (a) textbooks -
 - (b) assignments -
 - (c) examinations OXCQIIQN+
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

mastering Astronomy

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

NOVO

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

- 38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

there is no need

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

41. Other comments:

you are a good professor roop it up!

201 NRITE IN I D U

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most? Enthusiasm of teacher & subjects discussed
- 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
 - (a) textbooks clear
 - (b) assignments 600d
 - (c) examinations 600d
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain. Challenging, but to a point that IS accomplishable.
- 35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course? None
- 36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not? yes, he would ask us questions to make us think more.
- 37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not? Yes, he came in always early, prepared, encouraged us to discuss.
- 38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
- 39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.
- 40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?
- 41. Other comments:

NOT WRITE SHAD

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

WOWF

- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
 - (a) textbooks feally awesome
 - (b) assignments -
 - (c) examinations Kind of hard but just
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain. None
- 35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?
- 36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

Yes, he is really enthusiastic

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

Yes

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

WOW

- 39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness?

 Explain. I think he is great, he shouldn't change anything
- 40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

Yes

41. Other comments:

Best professor ever.

S T D

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

I liked that he cares a lot about his stidents

I didn't like that the test were sometimes difficult. 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

Perfect because he would give us the most important slides.

- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
 - (a) textbooks Helpful, when you don't find something clear in the powerpoints.
 - (b) assignments H.W was also helpful.
 - (c) examinations Some what difficult.
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

H.W's were pretty long and some things on the test had nothing to do with the slides.

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this

Have time to study more, (me) personally because

I always had 2 examp everytime I would test.

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

Yes, I actually learned a lot of things. He would also congradulates the students w/ good grades.

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the

subject field? Why or why not?

Yes, very looks like he really likes astro.

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

excellent.

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

He does a very good Job.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

41. Other comments:

Excellent class, expord it.

NR F

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

the intresting facts

- 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks -

(b) assignments -

(c) examinations -

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain. Not much, online work a bit too

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

yes, so intresting & nice proffesor

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

yes, offered in signt

- 38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
- 39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.
- 40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

Yes

41. Other comments:

Love Dr. Leel. Best/nicest professor

NRITE SHAD

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

Really good!

- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
 - (a) textbooks not neassary!
 - (b) assignments some what tedious
 - (c) examinations tall
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

none

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

none everything good

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

yu blause he likes astronomy a 10+

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

yes

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

tair

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

no he's awesome!

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

40!

41. Other comments:

Best astronomy teacher!