

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - PAN AMERICAN

COURSE ASSESSMENT

WFPPRV3

6/21/2011

ASTR 1401-02


Intro Astronomy I

Lee Hyun-Chul

Call Number: 22685

OVERALL RATINGS

1 - POOR	2 - FAIR	3 - AVERAGE	4 - GOOD	5 - EXCELLENT
0.14%	0.29%	5.87%	22.60%	71.10%
1	2	41	158	497



DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

Professor is willing to help us understand the material.

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

very good.

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks -

(b) assignments -

(c) examinations -

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

none.

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

none.

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

and yes, he makes it easy and interesting.

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

yes, ~~he~~ you can tell that he loves Astronomy.

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

good

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

yes

41. Other comments:

he is a very good, helpful professor; looking forward to next semester. I really like astronomy now.

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?
Enthusiasm and the allusions/metaphors he'd make in order for us to better understand the material.

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
Concise, understandable, good.

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks - Textbook seems a bit redundant but gets material across.

(b) assignments - Easy to keep up with as he gave us the schedule first day of class.

(c) examinations - Correlate with the material and do test knowledge of subject matter.

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain. Number of hours studied - my own "fault".

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course? He's doing a great job.

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

Yes, I am motivated to study more and am intrigued by astronomy.

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not? Very much so, you can see it is his passion - which is great.

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

They're just.

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness?

Explain. I think he's doing an excellent job.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

Yes

41. Other comments:

I think it's a thing with my generation to where we do not feel "normal" interacting with professors or asking questions - so if you truly wanted class participation on another level adding mandatory topic discussions over course material would aid with said goal/desire.

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

The professor

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

Great job!

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks -

(b) assignments -

(c) examinations -

Helpful

Helpful

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

None.

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

Studying more

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

yes, loves and knows his stuff very well

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

yes, passionate about the info.

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

Great!

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

Nothing, he's awesome

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

yes!

41. Other comments:

Great Professor!

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?
I LIKED EVERYTHING, LECTURE, EXAMS, and EXTRA CREDIT.
32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
EXCELLENT. POWERPOINT SLIDES HELP A LOT.
33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
(a) textbooks - EXCELLENT. EASY TO UNDERSTAND. VERY INTERESTING INFORMATION.
(b) assignments -
(c) examinations - FAIR. NOT TOO HARD, NOT TOO EASY.
34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain. No difficulty.
35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course? N/A
36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not? YES 😊
THIS COURSE HAS TAUGHT ME TO APPRECIATE EARTH MORE.
37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not? DEFINITELY. HE SHOWS THAT HE LOVES ASTRONOMY AND TAUGHT ME HOW TO LOVE IT TOO
38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
N/A
39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain. N/A
40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?
YES
41. Other comments:

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

How we reviewed for tests.

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

They were useful.

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks - helpful.

(b) assignments - self-explanatory.

(c) examinations - Great.

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

None.

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

None.

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

Yes, he would get us into the instruction

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

Yes, he was always happy to

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

OK.

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

They were great.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

Yes.

41. Other comments:

answer questions