## THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - PAN AMERICAN COURSE ASSESSMENT

WFPPRV3 1/26/2012

ASTR 1402-05 Intro Astronomy II Call Number: 15598 Term: 201210 Lee Hyun-Chul

OVERALL RATINGS 1 - POOR 0.00% 2 - FAIR 0.00% 3 - AVERAGE 4 - GOOD 5 - EXCELLENT 0.00% 3.43% 96.57%

Q27 ENTHUSIASM OF STUD. RECOMMENDATION Q18 ACCEPTANCE OF DISAGREEMENT W/STUD Q17 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS EXPRESS IDEAS Q16 ENCOURAGEMENT STUDENTS ASK QUESTIONS 225

Q28 PROFESSIONAL LEVEL OF INSTRUCTOR

Q26 CLARITY OF SYLABUS

**Q25 APPLICATION OF GRADING PROCEDURES** 

Q23 ADVANCE NOTICE OF EXAMS

**Q22 COMMENTS ON EXAMS Q21 PERTINENCE OF LECTURES** Q20 COURTESY TO STUDENTS

**Q24 GRADING PROCEDURES** 

Q19 INTEREST IN SUBJECT

Q15 CLARITY OF COMMUNICATION

Q14 AVAILABILITY DURING OFFICE HOURS Q13 INSTRUCTOR OUTSIDE AVAILABILITY Q12 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBJECT Q11 RATING AS AN INSTRUCTOR

## **III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT**

t?

DIII.	and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuab to the instructor.
31.	What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most of subject is absolutely interesting
32.	What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
33.	What do you think of the following aspects of the course? (a) textbooks - helpful.
	(b) assignments - educational
	(c) examinations - air
34.	What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.
35.	What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?
	n ha

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not? yes, The professor was always very passionate about he subject

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not? Hwas always her. to leuin

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved? Je.

41. Other comments:

Great pessor

## DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AR

## III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

41. Other comments:

# DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AR

## III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

- DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.
- 31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?
- 32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
- 33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
  - (a) textbooks -
  - (b) assignments -
  - (c) examinations -
- 34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.
- 35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?
- 36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?
- 37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?
- 38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
- 39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.
- 40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?
- 41. Other comments:

wonderful prefterior, I would recommend him to a rangone.