

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - PAN AMERICAN

WFPDRV3


COURSE ASSESSMENT

1/26/2012

ASTR 1402-01 Intro Astronomy II Lee Hyun-Chul

Call Number: 12248 Term: 201210

OVERALL RATINGS	1 - POOR	2 - FAIR	3 - AVERAGE	4 - GOOD	5 - EXCELLENT
	0.00%	0.13%	5.30%	13.31%	81.27%
	0	1	41	103	629



DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

The enthusiasm of the instructor

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

Excellent! Simply pay attention during lectures, and read the books.

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks - very comprehensive

(b) assignments -

(c) examinations - like before, attend class, and read the chapter.

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

None, Dr. Lee made it very easy to know what needed to be completed.

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

None!

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

Yes.

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

Very. I feel that Dr. Lee truly loves his profession. ? shows it during his lectures.

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

Very simple & easy to understand.

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

No Improvements needed!
- excellent teacher.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

41. Other comments:

Course was interesting, comprehensive, & fun.

Anyone who gives a bad review either didn't get the book, or didn't attend the lectures.
Anyone who fails this course has no one to blame but themselves.

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?
The powerpoints
32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
I loved the powerpoints & the in dept explanations about them
33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
(a) textbooks - helpful
(b) assignments -
(c) examinations - easy if you read your book / study the power points
34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.
None
35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?
None
36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?
Yes because Dr Lee makes Astronomy very interesting. Not just on an academic level, but a personal level as well
37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?
Yes very because he works for NASA & loves everything about Astronomy
38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
Good & fair
39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.
n/a
40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?
Yes
41. Other comments:
None

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

The teacher was great. Good information

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

Easily got the information through!

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks - Good

(b) assignments - Easy

(c) examinations - Moderate

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

Moderate difficulty

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

no changes

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

Yes, he pushed me to do better.

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

Yes he always told us to go to his office hours

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

Good!

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

none!

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

Yes

41. Other comments:

DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?

I liked learning about the black holes. I didn't like the numbers and math part.

32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?

I thought they were good.

33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?

(a) textbooks - good

(b) assignments - great

(c) examinations - good

34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.

I experienced no difficulty. It's all what you put into it that makes a difference. Good or Bad.

35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?

If I were able to remember more easily.

36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not?

Yes, I was because I find this subject very interesting and the instructor is enthusiastic in this subject. He doesn't

37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not?

Yes I did because he showed his interest in it.

38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?

I thought they were good.

39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.

I thought his "teaching effectiveness" was fine. The instructor needs not improve on anything.

40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?

Yes

41. Other comments:



DO NOT WRITE IN SHADED AREA

III. FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTIONS: Please give your personal comments on instructor effectiveness and general course value. Specific comments are often the most valuable to the instructor.

31. What single aspect of this course did you like the most? Dislike the most?
It was a very interesting course.
32. What do you think of the instructional methods used in this course?
They were great.
33. What do you think of the following aspects of the course?
(a) textbooks - Useful
(b) assignments - useful
(c) examinations - good
34. What difficulty, if any, did you experience in completing the required work? Explain.
None.
35. What changes, if any, would have enabled you to gain more from this course?
Perhaps if the exams were not so close together.
36. Were you motivated to work for a higher level of skill and/or knowledge in this subject by the instructor? Why or why not? Yes, he is very enthusiastic.
37. Did you feel that this instructor was interested and enthusiastic about the subject field? Why or why not? Yes, he loves astronomy.
38. What do you think of the evaluation methods used by this instructor?
Effective
39. How could this instructor improve his/her teaching effectiveness? Explain.
It's great the way it is.
40. Do you think the stated course objectives were achieved?
Yes.
41. Other comments:

Great Course 😊