

# Cipher (si-fer)

---

*noun*

- I. An algorithm for performing encryption or decryption – a series of well-defined steps that can be followed as a procedure.



# Hail Caesar!

---

## ▶ Caesar Cipher

- ▶ One of the earliest known examples of text encryption
- ▶ Given a text message and an integer *key*
  - ▶ Substitute each letter in a message with the letter key positions down the alphabet
  - ▶ If you hit the end of the alphabet, wrap around
  - ▶ Do the reverse to *decrypt* the message
- ▶ Decrypt this message, with the a key of 3:
  - ▶ L olnh fkhhvh



# Encoding vs. Encryption

---

- ▶ Encoding (like we talked about last week)
  - ▶ Representing data (e.g. text) in another system (e.g. binary)
  - ▶ **Goal is to make it usable, simple, efficient, etc.**
- ▶ Encryption
  - ▶ Representing data (e.g. text) in another system (e.g. still text)
  - ▶ **Goal is to make it really, really hard to figure out!**



# Secret-er

---

- ▶ Caesar is pretty limited, because it maps from the 26 characters to the same 26 characters
  - ▶ Better: map from characters to an infinite number of integers
    - ▶ (Kind of like the ASCII table)
  
- ▶ Activity: Roll your own encryption



# Algorithms and keys

---

- ▶  $A = I, B = 2$ , etc
  - ▶ Encoding, not encryption
  - ▶ An algorithm, but no key (same every time)
- ▶  $A = \text{key}, B = \text{key} + I$ , etc
  - ▶ Encryption, only meant to be read by people who know **both** the algorithm **and** the key
- ▶ Lousy encryption, though.
- ▶ Partner discussion:
  - ▶ How would you decrypt a Caesar Cipher encrypted message if you didn't know the key?
  - ▶ How would you decrypt a message using that key + I cipher if you didn't know the key?



# Cracking the code

---

- ▶ Here's my encryption algorithm:
  - ▶ Select two integer keys, `key1` and `key2`
  - ▶ For each character in the original message
    - ▶ Look up the ASCII value for that character
    - ▶ Multiply that value by `key1` and add `key2`
    - ▶ Add the resulting number to the encrypted message
  
- ▶ Activity: Dastardly criminals!



# The Punchline

---

- ▶ **Character-by-character encryption is all bad, actually**
  - ▶ It gives the attacker a fixed set of numbers to figure out
  - ▶ Languages have well known *distributions* of letters
    - ▶ Imagine a program that just counts how many of each letter in all the English digital books in the world
  - ▶ Makes it pretty easy to figure out which number is which letter
- ▶ **Secret key encryption is also generally bad**
  - ▶ Have to communicate the key secretly, which is another potential point of attack
  - ▶ Asymmetric (public-key) encryption is much better

