

Econometrics

Econ 3341 - 01: Mock Exam 2

Diego Escobari

Name: _____

Total Points: 22 (plus 1 extra point)

Show your work

The following equation describes how the number of Facebook friends depends on your gender and on how long you have been having a Facebook account (measured in weeks):

$$\text{fbfriends} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{weekold} + \beta_2 \text{weekoldsq} + \delta_0 \text{female} + u. \quad (1)$$

The variable `fbfriends` denotes the number of Facebook friends, `weekold` is the number of weeks old your Facebook account is, while `weekoldsq` is `weekold` squared. Finally, `female` is equal to one if the account holder is female, zero otherwise. The estimation output from Gretl is the following:

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1-2060
Dependent variable: `fbfriends`

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	336.938	12.1349	27.77	2.29e-144	***
female	-7.77756	7.50715	-1.036	0.3003	
weekold	4.88149	0.754399	6.471	1.22e-010	***
weekoldsq	0.0561077	0.0103873	5.402	7.37e-08	***
Mean dependent var	253.5602	S.D. dependent var	172.4297		
Sum squared resid	59621754	S.E. of regression	170.2907		
R-squared	0.026078	Adjusted R-squared	0.024657		
F(3, 2056)	18.35041	P-value(F)	9.49e-12		
Log-likelihood	-13504.28	Akaike criterion	27016.55		
Schwarz criterion	27039.07	Hannan-Quinn	27024.81		

- 1) Write down the estimated equation for females. (2 points)

2) Write down the estimated equation for males. (2 points)

3) What is the interpretation of the coefficient on `female`? Explain the statistical significance of the coefficient. (2 points)

4) What is the equation that characterizes the marginal effect of `weeks old` on the number of Facebook friends? (2 points)

5) What is the predicted number of Facebook friends for someone who lives in Texas and opened his account exactly one year ago? (2 points)

6) What proportion of the variation in `fbfriends` is explained by this model? (2 points)

7) Graph the regression equations that describes `fbfriends` as a function of `weekold`. Make sure you label your axes. (2 points)



Consider the following specification, where the variable `college` is a dummy variable equal to one if the account holder is in college, zero otherwise:

$$\log(\text{fbfriends}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{college} + u \quad (2)$$

Model 5: OLS, using observations 1-2060
Dependent variable: `lfbfriends`

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	4.83436	0.0437680	110.5	0.0000	***
college	0.819652	0.0697909	11.74	7.12e-031	***
Mean dependent var	5.320973	S.D. dependent var	0.660987		
Sum squared resid	843.0798	S.E. of regression	0.640047		
R-squared	0.062812	Adjusted R-squared	0.062357		
F(1, 2058)	137.9308	P-value(F)	7.12e-31		
Log-likelihood	-2002.812	Akaike criterion	4009.624		
Schwarz criterion	4020.884	Hannan-Quinn	4013.752		

- 8) What is the interpretation of β_2 ? (1 points)
- One more year in college increases your Facebook friends by 81.97%.
 - One more year in college increases you Facebook friends by 81.97
 - It is not statistically significant, so it is not correct to interpret the magnitude of the coefficient.
 - If the person is in college, he/she is expected to have 81.97 more Facebook friends.
 - If the person is in college, he/she is expected to have 81.97% more Facebook friends.
 - None of the above.
- 9) What is the main constraint of the simple regression model in Equation (2)? (1 point)
- The ceteris paribus assumption holds.
 - It is not keeping other factors constant.
 - Other variables have a positive effect on `fbfriends`.
 - The variable `college` has a linear effect on `log(fbfriends)`.
 - The coefficient on the variable `college` is not statistically significant.
 - The coefficient on the variable `college` is not economically significant.

Consider the existence of four different groups: females that attend college (`collegefemale`), females that do not attend college (`nocollegefemale`), males that attend college (`collegemale`), and males that do not attend college (`nocollegemale`). We want to test if there are differences across these groups in terms of their number of Facebook friends. One possible specification is:

$$\log(\text{fbfriends}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{collegefemale} + \beta_2 \text{collegemale} + \beta_3 \text{nocollegefemale} + u \quad (3)$$

where the omitted category (base group) is `nocollegemale`. We obtain the following output from Gretl:

Model 6: OLS, using observations 1-2060
Dependent variable: `lfbfriends`

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	4.84723	0.0623222	77.78	0.0000	***
collegefemale	0.807449	0.0777252	10.39	1.13e-024	***
collegemale	0.805680	0.0975339	8.261	2.57e-016	***
nocollegefemale	-0.0243846	0.0877099	-0.2780	0.7810	
Mean dependent var	5.320973	S.D. dependent var	0.660987		
Sum squared resid	843.0251	S.E. of regression	0.640337		
R-squared	0.062873	Adjusted R-squared	0.061505		
F(3, 2056)	45.97968	P-value(F)	9.33e-29		
Log-likelihood	-2002.745	Akaike criterion	4013.490		
Schwarz criterion	4036.012	Hannan-Quinn	4021.747		

- 10) Why we should not include `nocollegemale` in the estimated regression? (1 point)
- Because we will not have the dummy variable trap.
 - It is fine to include it because Gretl will drop it anyway.
 - Because `nocollegemale` contains the same information as the other three dummy variables.
 - Because it will have a negative effect on `fbfriends`.
 - Because the sample of 2060 observations does not have any males that did not attend college.
 - None of the above.
- 11) What is the interpretation of β_2 ? (1 point)
- College males have 80.57% more Facebook friends than college females.
 - For every additional college male, the number of Facebook friends increases by 80.57.
 - For every additional college male, the number of Facebook friends increases by 80.57%.
 - College males have 80.57% more Facebook friends than college females.
 - College males have 80.57 more Facebook friends than college females.
 - College males have 80.57% more Facebook friends than males who do not attend college.

Consider the alternative model:

$$\log(\text{fbfriends}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{college} + \beta_2 \text{weekold} + \beta_3 \text{weekoldcollege} + u \quad (4)$$

where `weekoldcollege` is the interaction term (`weekold` times `college`). The Gretl output is:

Model 7: OLS, using observations 1-2060
Dependent variable: `lfbfriends`

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
<code>const</code>	4.34658	0.109505	39.69	2.78e-256	***
<code>college</code>	1.48060	0.145897	10.15	1.20e-023	***
<code>weekold</code>	-0.0121392	0.00234802	-5.170	2.57e-07	***
<code>weekoldcollege</code>	0.0179934	0.00363260	4.953	7.89e-07	***
Mean dependent var	5.320973	S.D. dependent var	0.660987		
Sum squared resid	832.1932	S.E. of regression	0.636210		
R-squared	0.074914	Adjusted R-squared	0.073564		
F(3, 2056)	55.49854	P-value(F)	1.71e-34		

- 12) The null hypothesis to test if the number of Facebook friends follows the same model for college and non-college students is: (1 point)
- $H_0: \text{college} = \text{non-college}$
 - $H_0: \text{college} = \text{weekoldcollege} = 0$

- c. $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_3 = 0$
- d. $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$
- e. $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$
- f. None of the above

13) Can you claim that the effect of `weekold` is the same for college and non college students? (1 points)

- a. No, because the coefficient is not significant.
- b. No, because even though the coefficient is significant, it has the wrong sign.
- c. No, because the p-value is below 5%.
- d. Yes, because the coefficient is not statistically significant.
- e. Yes, because the coefficient is statistically significant.
- f. None of the above.

14) Graph the regression equations that describes $\log(\text{fbfriends})$ as a function of `weekold` for both, college and non college students. (2 points + 1 extra point)



Record your responses for the multiple choice section here:

	Response
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	