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#### Abstract

We report two integrable peakon systems that have weak kink and kink-peakon interactional solutions. Both peakon systems are guaranteed integrable through providing their Lax pairs. The peakon and multi-peakon solutions of both equations are studied. In particular, the two-peakon dynamic systems are explicitly presented and their collisions are investigated. The weak kink solution is studied, and more interesting, the kink-peakon interactional solutions are proposed for the first time.
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## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{t}-b u_{x}+2 m u_{x}+m_{x} u=0, \quad m=u-u_{x x}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is an arbitrary constant, has attracted much attention in the theory of soliton and integrable system [1,3-6,9,11,13,14,17,21,22]. The most interesting feature of the CH equation (1) is to admit peaked soliton (peakon) solutions in the case of $b=0$. In addition to the CH equation, other integrable models with peakon solutions have been found, such as the Degasperis-Procesi equation [7,8,18,19] and the cubic nonlinear peakon equations [10,12,15,16,20,23-25].

In this paper, we study the following equation with both quadratic and cubic nonlinearity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{t}=b u_{x}+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}\left[m\left(u^{2}-u_{x}^{2}\right)\right]_{x}+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}\left(2 m u_{x}+m_{x} u\right), \quad m=u-u_{x x}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0](with $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ being two arbitrary constants) and its two-component extension:
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
m_{t}=b u_{x}+\frac{1}{2}\left[m\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right)\right]_{x}-\frac{1}{2} m\left(u v_{x}-u_{x} v\right)  \tag{3}\\
n_{t}=b v_{x}+\frac{1}{2}\left[n\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right)\right]_{x}+\frac{1}{2} n\left(u v_{x}-u_{x} v\right) \\
m=u-u_{x x} \\
n=v-v_{x x}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

Equation (2) is actually a linear combination of CH equation (1) and cubic nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{t}=b u_{x}+\left[m\left(u^{2}-u_{x}^{2}\right)\right]_{x}, \quad m=u-u_{x x} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was derived independently by Fokas [10], Fuchssteiner [12], Olver and Rosenau [21], and Qiao [23], where the equation was derived from the twodimensional Euler system, and Lax pair, the M/W-shape solitons and peakon/ cuspon solutions were presented. Apparently, the two-component system (3) we propose is reduced to the CH equation (1), the cubic CH equation (4), and the generalized CH equation (2) as $v=2, v=2 u$, and $v=k_{1} u+k_{2}$, respectively.

Both (2) and (3) are proven integrable through their Lax pairs, bi-Hamiltonian structures, and infinitely many conservation laws. In the case of $b=0$, we show that systems (2)-(4) admit the single-peakon as well as multipeakon solutions. In particular, we explicitly solve the two-peakon dynamic systems and study their collisions in details. In the case of $b \neq 0$, we find that (4) and (3) possess the weak kink solutions. More interesting, the kink-peakon interactional solutions are for the first time proposed for equation (4) in the case of $b \neq 0$.

## 2 Lax pair, bi-Hamiltonian structure, and conservation laws

Equation (3) arises as a compatibility condition

$$
U_{t}-V_{x}+[U, V]=0
$$

of a pair of linear spectral problems

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}_{x}=U\binom{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}, \quad U=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha & \lambda m \\
-\lambda n & \alpha
\end{array}\right),  \tag{5}\\
& \binom{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}_{t}=V\binom{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}, \quad V=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & -A
\end{array}\right), \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
m=u-u_{x x}, \quad n=v-v_{x x}
$$

$b$ is an arbitrary constant, $\lambda$ is a spectral parameter,

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2} b}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\lambda^{-2} \alpha+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(u v_{x}-u_{x} v\right) \\
B=-\lambda^{-1}\left(u-\alpha u_{x}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda m\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right)  \tag{7}\\
C=\lambda^{-1}\left(v+\alpha v_{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda n\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Since equation (3) is reduced to the generalized CH equation (2) as $v=k_{1} u+k_{2}$, we obtain the Lax pair of (2) by substituting $v=k_{1} u+k_{2}$ into (5) and (6). Thus, both (2) and (3) are integrable in the sense of Lax pair.
Proposition 1 Equation (2) has the following bi-Hamiltonian structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{t}=J \frac{\delta H_{1}}{\delta m}=K \frac{\delta H_{2}}{\delta m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
J=k_{1} \partial m \partial^{-1} m \partial+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}(\partial m+m \partial)+b \partial, \quad H_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(u^{2}+u_{x}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{9}\\
K=\partial-\partial^{3} \\
H_{2}=\frac{1}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(k_{1} u^{4}+2 k_{1} u^{2} u_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} k_{1} u_{x}^{4}+2 k_{2} u^{3}+2 k_{2} u u_{x}^{2}+4 b u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proposition 2 Equation (3) can be rewritten as the following bi-Hamiltonian form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{t}, n_{t}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=J\left(\frac{\delta H_{1}}{\delta m}, \frac{\delta H_{1}}{\delta n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=K\left(\frac{\delta H_{2}}{\delta m}, \frac{\delta H_{2}}{\delta n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial m \partial^{-1} m \partial-m \partial^{-1} m & \partial m \partial^{-1} n \partial+m \partial^{-1} n+2 b \partial \\
\partial n \partial^{-1} m \partial+n \partial^{-1} m+2 b \partial & \partial n \partial^{-1} n \partial-n \partial^{-1} n
\end{array}\right) \\
H_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(u v+u_{x} v_{x}\right) \mathrm{d} x, \quad K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \partial^{2}-1 \\
1-\partial^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{12}\\
H_{2}=\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[\left(u^{2} v_{x}+u_{x}^{2} v_{x}-2 u u_{x} v\right) n+2 b\left(u v_{x}-u_{x} v\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x
\end{gather*}
$$

Based on a standard treatment, from the Lax pairs (5) and (6), we may construct the following infinitely many conserved densities and the associated fluxes of equation (3):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{0}=\sqrt{-m n}, \quad F_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-m n}\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right), \quad \rho_{1}=\frac{m n_{x}-m_{x} n-2 m n}{2 m n} \\
F_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}+u v_{x}-u_{x} v\right)+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right)  \tag{13}\\
\rho_{j}=m \omega_{j}, \quad F_{j}=\left(u-u_{x}\right) \omega_{j-2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{j}\left(u v-u_{x} v_{x}\right), \quad j \geqslant 2
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega_{j}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\sqrt{-\frac{n}{m}}, \quad \omega_{1}=\frac{m n_{x}-m_{x} n-2 m n}{2 m^{2} n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j+1}=\frac{1}{m \omega_{0}}\left[\omega_{j}-\omega_{j, x}-\frac{1}{2} m \sum_{i+k=j+1, i, k \geqslant 1} \omega_{i} \omega_{k}\right], \quad j \geqslant 1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinitely many conservation laws of equation (2) may be obtained by substituting $v=k_{1} u+k_{2}$ into (13).

## 3 Peakon solutions in case of $b=0$

### 3.1 Peakon solutions of cubic CH equation (4)

One can directly check that the single-peakon solution of equation (4) with $b=0$ is given by

$$
u= \pm \sqrt{\frac{3 c}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-|x+c t|}
$$

In general, we make the ansatz for $N$-peakons

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{j}(t)\right|} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
m=2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j} \delta\left(x-q_{j}\right)
$$

Substituting them into equation (4) yields the following evolution equations for the peak positions and amplitudes:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{j, t}=0  \tag{17}\\
q_{j, t}=\frac{1}{3} p_{j}^{2}-\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} p_{i} p_{k}\left(1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{k}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{i}\right|-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $N=2$, (17) can be solved with the explicit solutions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}(t)=c_{1}, \quad p_{2}(t)=c_{2},  \tag{18}\\
q_{1}(t)=\operatorname{sgn}(t) \frac{3 c_{1} c_{2}}{\left|\left(c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}\right)\right|}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|2\left(c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}\right) t / 3\right|}-1\right)-\frac{2}{3} c_{1}^{2} t, \\
q_{2}(t)=\operatorname{sgn}(t) \frac{3 c_{1} c_{2}}{\left|\left(c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}\right)\right|}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|2\left(c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}\right) t / 3\right|}-1\right)-\frac{2}{3} c_{2}^{2} t,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. The two-peakon collision occurs at the moment $t=0$, since $q_{1}(0)=q_{2}(0)=0$. Without loss of generality, let us suppose $0<c_{1}<c_{2}$. From formula (18), we know that for $t<0$, the tall and fast peakon (with the amplitude $c_{2}$ and peak position $q_{2}$ ) chases after the short and slow peakon (with the amplitude $c_{1}$ and peak position $q_{1}$ ). At the moment of $t=0$, the two-peakon collides and overlaps. After the collision $(t>0)$, the two-peakon departs, and the tall and fast peakon surpasses the short and slow one. See Fig. 1 (a) for the developments of this kind of two-peakon.
Remark 1 Our results show that the collision of two-peakon of equation (4) is very different from the case of CH equation (1). For the CH equation (1), the collision happens between peakon and anti-peakon [2]. For the cubic CH equation (4), the collision of two-peakon occurs in the case that the tall peakon 'chase' the short one as described above.

### 3.2 Peakon solutions of generalized CH system (2)

It is easy to verify that the single-peakon solution of equation (2) with $b=0$ take the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=C \mathrm{e}^{-|x-c t|}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3} k_{1} C^{2}+\frac{1}{2} k_{2} C+c=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k_{1}=0, k_{2}=-2$, then $C=c$. Thus, we recover the single-peakon solution $u=c \mathrm{e}^{-|x-c t|}$ of the CH equation (1) with $b=0$. For $k_{1}=2$ and $k_{2}=0$, we reduce to the single-peakon solution of the cubic nonlinear CH equation (4) with $b=0$. In general, for $k_{1} \neq 0$, we may obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{-3\left(\sqrt{3} k_{2} \pm \sqrt{3 k_{2}^{2}-16 k_{1} c}\right)}{4 \sqrt{3} k_{1}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $3 k_{2}^{2}-16 k_{1} c \geqslant 0$, then $C$ is a real number. If $3 k_{2}^{2}-16 k_{1} c<0$, then $C$ is a complex number. This means that we may have a peakon solution with complex coefficient.

Let us assume that the $N$-peakons are the same form as (16). Then we obtain the following $N$-peakon dynamic system:

$$
p_{j, t}=-\frac{1}{2} k_{2} p_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_{k} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{j, t}= & -\frac{1}{2} k_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|}+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{1}{3} p_{j}^{2}\right.  \tag{22}\\
& \left.-\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} p_{i} p_{k}\left(1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{k}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{i}\right|-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $N=2$, selecting $k_{1}=k_{2}=-2$ may yield the following special solution:

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}(t)=\operatorname{coth} t, \quad q_{1}(t)=\frac{8}{3\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 t}-1\right)}+\log \left(\mathrm{e}^{2 t}+1\right)-\frac{1}{3} t-\log 2 \\
p_{2}(t)=-\operatorname{coth} t, \quad q_{2}(t)=\frac{8}{3\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 t}-1\right)}-\log \left(\mathrm{e}^{2 t}+1\right)+\frac{5}{3} t+\log 2 \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, we arrive at the following peakon-antipeakon solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\operatorname{coth} t\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{1}(t)\right|}-\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{2}(t)\right|}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{1}(t)$ and $q_{2}(t)$ are shown in (23). In spite of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} p_{1}(t)=-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} p_{2}(t)=\infty, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} q_{1}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} q_{2}(t)=\infty \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (24), we still have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t)=0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that the peakon and the antipeakon vanish when they overlap. Guided by the above results, we may describe the dynamics of peakonantipeakon solution (24) as follows. For $t<0$, the peak is at $q_{2}(t)$ and the trough is at $q_{1}(t)$. The peak and the trough approach each other as $t$ goes to 0 . At the moment of $t=0$, the peakon and the antipeakon collide and vanish. After their collision $(t>0)$, they separate and reemerge with the trough at $q_{2}(t)$ and the peak at $q_{1}(t)$. Fig. $1(\mathrm{~b})$ shows the peakon-antipeakon interactional dynamics.
Remark 2 The amplitudes $p_{1}(t)$ and $p_{2}(t)$ in formula (23) are the same as those of the CH equation [2], but the peak positions $q_{1}(t)$ and $q_{2}(t)$ are different. In the CH equation, only $p_{1}(t)$ and $p_{2}(t)$ become infinite at the instant of collision $[2,3]$. In the new equation (2), both $\left(p_{1}(t), p_{2}(t)\right)$ and $\left(q_{1}(t), q_{2}(t)\right)$ become infinite at the instant of collision. However, in both cases, the peakonantipeakon vanishes when the overlap occurs.

### 3.3 Peakon solutions of two-component system (3)

By a direct calculation, we find the single peakon solutions of (3) with $b=0$ take the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{1}{3} c_{1} c_{2} t\right|}, \quad v=c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{1}{3} c_{1} c_{2} t\right|} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are two arbitrary constants. In general, $N$-peakon solution is cast in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{j}(t)\right|}, \quad v(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{j}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{j}(t)\right|} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (28) into (3) with $b=0$, we are able to obtain the following $N$-peakon dynamic system:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
p_{j, t} & =\frac{1}{2} p_{j} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} p_{i} r_{k}\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{k}\right)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|-\left|q_{j}-q_{i}\right|}  \tag{29}\\
q_{j, t} & =\frac{1}{6} p_{j} r_{j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} p_{i} r_{k}\left(1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{k}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{i}\right|-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|} \\
r_{j, t} & =-\frac{1}{2} r_{j} \sum_{i, k=1}^{N} p_{i} r_{k}\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{k}\right)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|-\left|q_{j}-q_{i}\right|}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

For $N=2$, we have the following explicit solution of (29):

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}(t)=B \mathrm{e}^{\frac{3\left(A_{2} D^{2}-A_{1}\right)}{2 D\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\left|\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) t\right| / 3}}, \quad p_{2}(t)=\frac{p_{1}}{D} \\
r_{1}(t)=\frac{A_{1}}{p_{1}}, \quad r_{2}(t)=\frac{A_{2}}{p_{2}} \\
q_{1}(t)=-\frac{1}{3} A_{1} t+\frac{3\left(A_{2} D^{2}+A_{1}\right)}{2 D\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)} \operatorname{sgn}\left[\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) t\right]\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) t\right| / 3}-1\right)  \tag{30}\\
q_{2}(t)=-\frac{1}{3} A_{2} t+\frac{3\left(A_{2} D^{2}+A_{1}\right)}{2 D\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)} \operatorname{sgn}\left[\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) t\right]\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) t\right| / 3}-1\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}, B$, and $D$ are integration constants. Choosing special

$$
A_{1}=1, \quad A_{2}=4, \quad B=1, \quad D=1
$$

leads to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}(t)=p_{2}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2}  \tag{31}\\
r_{1}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2}, \quad r_{2}(t)=4 \mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2} \\
q_{1}(t)=-\frac{1}{3} t+\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-|t|}-1\right) \\
q_{2}(t)=-\frac{4}{3} t+\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-|t|}-1\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which generate the following two-peakon solution of (3):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x, t)=\mathrm{e}^{-3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{1}{3} t-\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-|t|}-1\right)\right|}+\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{4}{3} t-\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-|t|}-1\right)\right|}\right)  \tag{32}\\
v(x, t)=\mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{1}{3} t-\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-|t|}-1\right)\right|}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{4}{3} t-\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-|t|}-1\right)\right|}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$



Fig. 1 (a) Two-peakon solution determined by (18) with $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=2$. Black line: $t=-4$; red line: $t=-1$; brown line: $t=0$ (collision); blue line: $t=1$; green line: $t=4$.
(b) Peakon-antipeakon solution (24). Pink: peakon (and antipeakon) with peak (and trough) position $q_{2}$; green: antipeakon (and peakon) with trough (and peak) position $q_{1}$.

Apparently, the two-peakon solution of $u(x, t)$ possesses the same amplitude $\mathrm{e}^{-3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2}$, which reaches the minimum value at the moment of collision $(t=0)$. Fig. 2 (a) shows the profile of the two-peakon dynamics for $u(x, t)$. The twopeakon solution of $v(x, t)$ with the amplitudes $\mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2}$ and $4 \mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{e}^{-|t|} / 2}$ also collides at $t=0$. At this moment, the amplitudes attain the maximum value and the two-peakon overlaps into one peakon $5 \mathrm{e}^{3 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-|x|}$, which is much higher than other moments. See Fig. 2 (b) for a 3-dimensional graph of the two-peakon dynamics for $v(x, t)$.



Fig. 2 (a) Two-peakon solution $u(x, t)$ in (32). Red line: $t=-5$; blue line: $t=-1$; brown line: $t=0$ (collision); green line: $t=1$; black line: $t=5$.
(b) 3-dimensional graph for two-peakon solution $v(x, t)$ in (32).

## 4 Weak kink solutions of systems (4) and (3) in case of $b \neq 0$

Let us seek the kink solution of equation (4) in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=C \operatorname{sgn}(x-c t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-|x-c t|}-1\right), \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the wave speed $c$ and the constant $C$ are to be determined. The first order partial derivatives of (33) read

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}=-C \mathrm{e}^{-|x-c t|}, \quad u_{t}=c C \mathrm{e}^{-|x-c t|} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second order partial derivatives of (33) do not exist at $x=c t$. Therefore, like the case of peakon solutions, the kink solution in the form of (33) should also be understood in the distribution sense. (33) is called a weak kink solution of equation (4). Substituting (33) and (34) into (4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=-\frac{1}{2} b, \quad C= \pm \sqrt{\frac{-b}{2}} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Fig. 3 (a) for the profile of this weak kink wave solution with $b=-2$.
Similarly, the two-component system (3) with $b \neq 0$ admits the following weak kink solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=C_{1} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x+\frac{1}{2} b t\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{1}{2} b t\right|}-1\right), \quad v=C_{2} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x+\frac{1}{2} b t\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x+\frac{1}{2} b t\right|}-1\right), \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1} C_{2}=-b$.
Remark 3 In formula (35), $c=-b / 2$ means that the kink wave speed is exactly $-b / 2$. This is very different from the single-peakon solution whose wave speed is usually taken as an arbitrary constant $c$. The multi-peakon solutions take the form of superpositions of single-peakon solutions. However, by direct calculations, we find that the two systems (4) and (3) with $b \neq 0$ do not allow the multi-kink solution in the form of the superpositions of single-kink solutions.

## 5 Weak kink-peakon interactional solutions of equation (4)

Let us make the following ansatz of solution to equation (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=p_{1}(t) \operatorname{sgn}\left(x-q_{1}(t)\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{1}(t)\right|}-1\right)+p_{2}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{2}(t)\right|}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which actually describes a new phenomena of weak kink-peakon interactional dynamics in soliton theory. Substituting (37) into (4) and integrating in the
distribution sense, we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{-b}{2}}  \tag{38}\\
p_{2, t}=2 p_{1}^{2} p_{2} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{1}-q_{2}\right|}, \\
q_{1, t}=-\frac{1}{2} b-2 p_{1} p_{2} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{1}-q_{2}\right|} \\
q_{2, t}=-\frac{2}{3} p_{2}^{2}-p_{1}^{2}+2\left(p_{1}^{2}-p_{1} p_{2} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{1}-q_{2}\right|}+2 \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right) p_{1} p_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us choose $b=-2$ and $p_{1}=1$. To solve the above system, let us make an assumption $q_{1}<q_{2}$. After integrating equation (38), we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{1}=t-p_{2}+A_{1}  \tag{39}\\
q_{2}=t-p_{2}-\log \left|\frac{1}{9} p_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{2}+1+\frac{A_{2}}{2 p_{2}}\right|+A_{1} \\
p_{2, t}=\frac{2}{9} p_{2}^{3}-p_{2}^{2}+2 p_{2}+A_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are integration constants. Letting $A_{2}=0$, we may solve the third equation of (39) for $p_{2}$ with the following implicit form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left|p_{2}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(p_{2}^{2}-\frac{9}{2} p_{2}+9\right)+\frac{3 \sqrt{7}}{7} \arctan \frac{4 p_{2}-9}{3 \sqrt{7}}=2 t+A_{3} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Fig. 3 (b) for the profile of the weak kink-peakon interactional solution with $A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}=0$.


Fig. 3 (a) Weak kink solution given by (33) and (35) at $t=0$.
(b) Weak kink-peakon interactional solution.

In general, we may assume the following ansatz of the solution to equation (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=p_{0}(t) \operatorname{sgn}\left(x-q_{0}(t)\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{0}(t)\right|}-1\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x-q_{j}(t)\right|} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be viewed as the interaction of single weak kink and $N$-peakon solutions. Through a very lengthy calculation, we are able to arrive at the following interactional dynamical system of single weak kink and $N$-peakon:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
p_{0}= & \pm \sqrt{-\frac{b}{2}}  \tag{42}\\
q_{0, t}= & p_{0}^{2}+2 p_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{0}-q_{i}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{0}-q_{i}\right|} \\
& +\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} p_{i} p_{k} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{i}-q_{k}\right)\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{k}-q_{0}\right)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{i}-q_{0}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{i}-q_{k}\right|}, \\
p_{j, t}= & 2 p_{0}^{2} p_{j} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{0}-q_{j}\right|} \\
& +2 p_{0} p_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{i}\right|}, \\
q_{j, t}= & \frac{1}{3} p_{j}^{2}-p_{0}^{2}\left(1-2 \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{0}-q_{j}\right|}\right) \\
& -\sum_{i, k=1}^{N} p_{i} p_{k}\left(1-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{k}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{j}-q_{i}\right|-\left|q_{j}-q_{k}\right|} \\
& -2 p_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{0}-q_{j}\right|}-1\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{i}-q_{j}\right|}\right. \\
& \left.-\operatorname{sgn}\left(q_{j}-q_{i}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left|q_{0}-q_{j}\right|-\left|q_{i}-q_{j}\right|}\right) .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The above system is not presented in the canonical Hamiltonian system. We still do not know whether this system is integrable for $N \geqslant 2$ under a Poisson structure.
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